

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,782	02/03/2004	Toshiya Kondo	02860.0769	9290	
22852	7590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			LETSCHER, GERALDINE		
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20001-4413		1752		
•			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/D			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- "			
	10/769,782	KONDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Geraldine V. Letscher	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-3-0	<u>4 & 6-13-05</u> .	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
6. Patent and Trademark Office	Man Summani	To Bort of Donas No Mail S.				

Office Action Summary

GERALDINE LETSCHER of Paper No./Mail Date 2
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100

Art Unit: 1752

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of the compound represented by formula (1), more specifically compound 1-21 on page 33 herein the specification, in the reply filed on June 13, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kondo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,815,157).

Kondo et al. discloses a method of preparing a silver halide emulsion comprising silver halide grains containing at least 90 mol % chloride, 0.02 to 5.0 mol % bromide and 0 to 2.0 mol % iodide, based on silver (column 3, line 55+) and occluding at least one Group 8 metal compounds and at least one iridium compound (column 46, line 53+), the method comprising the steps of: (i) forming the silver halide grains by mixing a silver salt and a halide salt in a dispersing medium and (ii) subjecting the silver formed

Art Unit: 1752

silver halide grains to selenium sensitization (column 51, line 1+), wherein in the step (ii), the selenium sensitization is performed in the presence of at least one selected from the group of a compound represented by formula (1) (column 3, line 14), specifically (1-21) (column 7, line 50), a compound represented by formula (4) (column 3, line 25), fine grains of gold sulfide (column 51, line 14), and a compound represented by formula (S) (column 35, line 5+).

The applied reference has a common inventor/assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/769,782 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,815,157 to Kondo et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are drawn to a method of preparing a silver halide emulsion comprising silver halide grains containing at least 90 mol % chloride, 0.02 to 5.0 mol % bromide and 0 to 2.0 mol % iodide, based on silver and occluding at least one Group 8 metal compounds and at least one iridium compound, the method comprising the steps of: (i) forming the silver halide grains by mixing a silver salt and a halide salt in a dispersing medium and (ii) subjecting the silver formed silver halide grains to selenium sensitization, wherein in the step (ii), the selenium sensitization is performed in the presence of at least one selected from the group of a compound represented by formula (1), a compound represented by formula (4), fine grains of gold sulfide, and a compound represented by formula (5)
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geraldine V. Letscher whose telephone number is (571) 272-1334. The examiner can normally be reached 7:30am to 4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,782 Page 5

Art Unit: 1752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (571) 272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).